Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar		Application No.	Applic	ant(s)
	anı	09/936,898 IKENOYA, TADAKATSU		YA, TADAKATSU
Examiner-initiated interview duminary		Examiner	Art Un	it
	-	Barbara J. Musser	1733	
All Participants:		Status of Application	n: <u>Rejected</u>	
(1) <u>Barbara J. Musser</u> .		(3)		
(2) Brian O'Shaughnessy.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 March 2005		Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCU	SSED:
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide a ecord of the substance	a written sumn of the interviev	nary of the substance w, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Representa	tive Signature	- if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated applicant's arguments did not render the claim allowable, and suggested that if the length of time and temperature of storage showed expected results, that applicant both include the ranges in the claims and file a declaration of unexpected results. Scu hhowever, would require further seagrching and consideration..